

THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA  
ANTI-CORRUPTION AGENCY  
No: 014-08-07-00-4/2010  
Date: 12 August, 2013  
B e l g r a d e

THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION  
Dragomir Milivojevic, Acting President of the Court

9 Nemanjina

Street

11000 Belgrade

Dear,

Based on the authority regulated by the rules in the articles 5 and 25 of the Anti-corruption Law, the Anti-corruption Agency is acting in accordance with the applications of the legal and natural persons and, when doing the jobs from their specific field, it cooperates with the state authorities and organizations, the territorial autonomy and local governments agencies, public services and other legal persons.

Regarding the application subject of which is the procedure of privatization, i.e. selling of the social funds of the subject ATP "Vojvodina" to the buyer Ilija Devic, control of performing of the contractual obligations, termination of the contract of sale, as well as other procedures and acts performed by the state authorities in the process of ownership transformation, we are addressing You as the person who takes care of the court work regarding implementation and correct application of the Court Rules in accordance with the Court rules and regulations on organization and work of the Supreme Court of Cassation.

On 9 May, 2013 The Supreme Court of Cassation made the judgement No Prev.58/2013 and Pzz. 1/2013, deciding on the audit of the respondent the City of Novi Sad declared against the judgement made by the Commercial Court 2Pz. 6028/12 from 31 January 2013 and the request for protection of legality by the Republic Public Prosecutor ГТ-I-235/13 from 14 March 2013 against the judgement made by the Commercial Court in Novi Sad P. 4597/2010. The claimant in the case is ATP Vojvodina, AD Novi Sad, and Ilija Devic as an intervener on its side is the one who filed the application.

Having in mind the Court Rules which regulate the order in which the cases are to be considered, the way in which activities of the Supreme Court of Cassation in the councils are to be done, presenting of the case by the Rapporteur judge, eventual recording of the adopted juridical attitude into evidence of the juridical practice, we would kindly ask you to send us in 15 days the above mentioned and other data on the work of the Trial Chamber in the very case – the data relating the date of assignment, meeting, and the case presenting by the Rapporteur judge. We would also like to ask you to inform us if you think that the Court Rules have been completely and correctly applied and implemented in the process of making of the above mentioned judgement.

DIRECTOR  
Tatjana Babic